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Brain metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer: management and prognosis of primary lung tumor resection


*Department of Thoracic Surgery, Beijing Hospital, National Center of Gerontology; Institute of Geriatric Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, People's Republic of China.

bDepartment of Thoracic Surgery, Beijing Hospital, National Center of Gerontology; Institute of Geriatric Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, People's Republic of China.

Corresponding Author’s Email: jp_bird@126.com

© The Author(s), 2023

Abstract

Brain metastases (BM) will develop in 30-50% of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients in the course of their illness. Systemic treatment is recommended for most advanced-stage NSCLC patients with BM but the prognosis remains poor. The prognosis and management of primary lung tumor resection are still a debated topic in this complex clinical setting. The present study will gather and review related research evidence. The survival benefit, patient selection and proper surgical strategy of primary lung tumor resection will be summarized and discussed in the treatment for NSCLC patients with BM.
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Introduction

Most of the brain neoplasm could be attributed to brain metastases (BM), and the most common origin is lung cancer (40%-50%)[1, 2]. Patients diagnosed with advanced-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with brain metastases represent approximately 10% of newly diagnosed NSCLC cases [2, 3]. Synchronous or metachronous BM can develop in 30-50% of NSCLC patients [4]. NSCLC with BM is often considered incurable due to poor prognosis, usually with a 2-year estimated survival rate between 10% and 23%, and 5-year survival varies between 0% and 10%[5]. Emerging treatment strategies such as immunotherapy and targeted therapy may improve the prognosis of a selected group of patients, yet
surgery might still have a place in multimodal therapy.

Some studies reported favoring outcomes when local aggressive therapy including surgery to both metastases and primary lung tumors was given to oligometastatic NSCLC patients\(^\text{[6-13]}\). This resulted in a paradigm shift where metastatic NSCLC would no longer be incurable, and long-term cancer control may be achieved by supplementing surgery and systemic treatment. However, the ability to reach a consensus is limited by the heterogeneous study design and a small number of patients included. To NSCLC patients with BM, it remains unclear about the survival benefit of primary lung tumor resection, who can benefit from such surgery, and what surgery approach should be performed. In this review article, we sought to gather and review recent study evidence and discuss these questions.

**Survival after primary lung tumor resection**

Studying a large database allows analysis with more patients participating, and the outcome of different treatment strategies could be investigated on a larger scale. Kumar\(^\text{[14]}\) assessed the data of 1240 NSCLC patients with synchronous BM from the National Cancer Database (NCDB). 270 patients who received definitive thoracic surgery had better 2-year survival rate than those who did not receive thoracic surgery (50.6%, 95%CI 44.3-56.6 vs 33.8%, 95%CI 30.7-37.0). For the treatment of brain metastases, wholebrain radiotherapy was excluded in this group of patients. Although the number of brain metastatic lesions was not provided, it is supposed that patient with limited tumor burden involving the brain was included, which might contribute to better treatment survival.

Several studies investigated data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End-Results (SEER) database. In a retrospective study, the data of 203 NSCLC patients with BM who received primary pneumonectomy plus mediastinal lymphadenectomy and a 1:2 matching control group were extracted from the SEER database\(^\text{[15]}\). Propensity scoring match (PSM) was applied to minimize selection bias. It is suggested that patients who get thoracic surgery had a better median survival time than those without thoracic surgery in the control group (27 months, 95%CI 19.3-34.7 vs 6 months 95%CI 4.4-7.6). The surgery approach included in this study was pneumonectomy alone. The extensive surgical resection might have a large impact on the patient’s quality of life postoperatively, and even affect survival negatively. In another study, Jia\(^\text{[16]}\) evaluated the survival data of 1857 NSCLC stage IV patients who received thoracic surgery plus chemotherapy from SEER database. PSM was applied and a 1:1 matching control group with patients who received chemotherapy alone was acquired. Improved overall survival (OS) was observed in patients treated with thoracic surgery plus chemotherapy comparing the control group (19 months vs 11 months, HR 0.503, 95% CI, 0.476-0.531). Similar improvement of OS and cancer-specific survival (CSS) was observed in the BM subgroup univariate analysis (HR 1.122, 95% CI, 1.098-1.147 for OS, HR 1.234, 95% CI, 1.204-1.265 for CSS).

Limitation of database-derived study includes a highly selected nature for aggressive therapy, retrospective manner of data collection, and the lack of detailed information regarding systemic treatment and
relapse. As studies of immunotherapy and targeted therapy in advanced-stage NSCLC patients have been a research focus recently, most cases included in open-access databases could not provide related information, it remains uncertain whether and how NSCLC patients with BM can benefit from multimodal treatment integrating surgery, and these evolving treatment approaches.

Oligometastatic cancer was introduced as a limited number of identifiable metastatic lesions, usually varying from 1-5, but without a standard definition[17]. Most studies included brain-only oligometastatic NSCLC patients to evaluate the efficacy of primary lung tumor resection. In a retrospective study[18], 122 NSCLC patients with limited BM (1-3) who received BM surgery were included. 39 patients who had primary lung tumor resection got better survival than those who received chemotherapy or radiotherapy for primary lung tumor control. The median survival time was 11.2 months and 2-year survival rate was 26%. It should be noted that adjuvant immunotherapy was precluded in the study and information regarding EGFR mutation or ALK rearrangement was not provided, patient survival could be underestimated due to these factors. Wang[19] collected data from 172 oligometastatic NSCLC patients including 37 BM patients. In 82 patients who underwent primary lung tumor resection, the median survival time was 48 months, and the 5-year survival rate was 21.1%. Patients with adrenal metastasis had better survival than BM and other distant organ metastasis, but more detailed survival data of BM patients were not mentioned in this study.

Enders[8] retrospectively recruited 141 NSCLC patients with synchronous or metachronous BM, who received BM surgery. 54 patients received primary lung tumor surgery and had a longer median survival time (17.3 months, 95%CI 11.8-30) than those who did not receive lung surgery. 23 patients had extracranial metastases indicating heavier tumor burden and subgroup analysis suggested decreased survival. A subgroup of 21 patients was further analyzed in this study because of long-term survival. Median survival time in this subgroup was 45.9 months, ranging from 26.3 to 75.1 months. Surgery to primary lung tumor was the only significant factor, and several other factors were noticed to be associated with longer survival including younger age, higher Karnofsky performance status score (KPS), metachronous BM, and a longer time between primary tumor diagnosis and BM development.

In a meta-analysis[20], seven retrospective cohort studies consisting of 668 synchronous oligometastatic NSCLC patients were included and the survival data was pooled and analyzed to assess the survival benefit of aggressive thoracic therapy (ATT) including surgery. A 52% reduction of the death risk (HR, 0.48; 95% CI 0.39-0.60; P<0.00001) was associated with ATT. The pooled cumulative survival rate at 2 years reached 52.1%, compared to 13.7% from those who did not receive ATT. In the brain-only metastases subgroup analysis, the pooled HR for OS in oligometastatic BM patients was 0.44-0.49. Survival data of some retrospective studies was summarized in Table 1.
Although high level evidence still awaits to be published, improved survival after primary lung tumor resection in NSCLC patients with BM was indicated in many studies. And similar survival benefit was observed in other oligometastatic NSCLC patients involving different organs\textsuperscript{24}. Due to the complex nature of the metastatic disease and primary tumor, patient survival could be influenced by many aspects.

Table 1. Survival of NSCLC patient with BM who receive primary lung tumor resection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Staging</th>
<th>n of metastases</th>
<th>BM treatment</th>
<th>Systemic treatment</th>
<th>Survival data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kumar 2023\textsuperscript{[14]}</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>cT1-3, N0-1, M1b-c</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>SRS/metastasectomy</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2-y SR 50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang 2022\textsuperscript{[15]}</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>pN0-3</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>MST 27m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gui 2017\textsuperscript{[9]}</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>cT1-3, N0-2, M1b, EGFR m+</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>metastasectomy</td>
<td>EGFR-TKI</td>
<td>PFS 16.1m(10.1-21.9); OS 28.0m(19.2-36.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai 2016\textsuperscript{[11]}</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>IA-III A without M stage</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>SRS/</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>OS 16.4m(9.6-23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ends 2016\textsuperscript{[8]}</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>stage IV</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>metastasectomy/</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>MST 17.3m(11.8-30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanou 2014\textsuperscript{[21]}</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>cT1-4, cN0-1, pN0-2</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>metastasectomy/</td>
<td>chemotherapy</td>
<td>MST 9.6m(3-107); 5-y SR 20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuksel 2014\textsuperscript{[12]}</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>pT1-4, pN0-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>metastasectomy/</td>
<td>chemotherapy</td>
<td>MST 24m(16.6-31.4); 1-y SR 79%; 2-y SR 42%; 5-y SR 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girard 2006\textsuperscript{[22]}</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>cT1-3, cN0-2</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>metastasectomy</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>MST 23m; 1-y SR 65%; 2-y SR 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biling 2001\textsuperscript{[23]}</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>pT1-4, pN0-2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>metastasectomy/</td>
<td>chemotherapy</td>
<td>MST 24m(2-104); 1-y SR 64.3%; 2-y SR 54%; 5-y SR 21.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer; BM: brain metastases; NS: not specific; SRS: stereotactic radiosurgery; WBRT: whole brain radiotherapy; EGFR-TKI: epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinases inhibitor; SR: survival rate; MST: median survival time; PFS: progression-free survival; OS: overall survival.
including the overall condition of the patient, tumor load and its biological behavior, and patient response to local and systemic treatment. Patient selection is one of the factors that impede a definite conclusion, as patients who are fit for surgical treatment usually have few comorbidities and less tumor burden. Results from a well-designed randomized trial are still needed to diminish the bias caused by influencing factors before a clear suggestion can be made to BM NSCLC patients about whether a lung tumor surgery should be performed. Several ongoing clinical trials involving thoracic surgical treatment for NSCLC patients with BM are: NCT03391869, NCT06114108, NCT03410043, NCT03707938, NCT01725165.

Prognostic factors associated with prolonged survival.

As in many studies, primary lung tumor resection was recommended to a highly selected group of advanced-stage NSCLC patients who had BM, it’s crucial to screen the appropriate candidate for surgical treatment of primary tumor, to maximize survival benefit and reduce treatment-related risk. In Kumar’s study\(^\text{14}\), patients aged younger, white race, who had tumor histology including large cell carcinoma, adeno-squamous, with lower T stage and N stage tended to receive thoracic surgery, and a negative nodal disease or T1-2 disease was associated with greater survival benefit. Liang\(^\text{25}\) studied stage IV NSCLC patients from SEER database to develop a model, to search for the optimal candidate for primary lung tumor resection surgery in advanced-stage NSCLC patients. Tumor position, age, tumor differentiation and T stage were found to influence prognosis mostly, followed by N and M stages, histology and gender. A prediction model was established based on these factors and validated internally and externally.

Prognostic factors related to better patient survival in advanced-stage NSCLC patients or BM patients were studied in some database-derived studies and retrospective cohort studies (Table 2). Primary lung tumor resection remains the most frequently studied factors that contribute to patient survival. Factors that related to tumor burden and biological behavior of tumors such as TMN stage, tumor histology, tumor differentiation and metastases pattern were analyzed less commonly. Notably, the influence of N stage on patient survival was well discussed in many other studies. Patients with N2 disease tended to have a worse prognosis and, in some cases, preclude primary lung tumor surgery\(^\text{7, 11, 13, 26-29}\), as mediastinal lymph node involvement might suggest a heavier tumor burden that is not fit for surgery with curative intent.

Systemic therapy including chemotherapy, radiotherapy, immunotherapy and targeted therapy contributed to better patient survival as well, highlighting the widespread nature of the tumor progression and the importance of systemic control. Some studies including phase II randomized clinical trials suggested that response to first-line systemic therapy is a key prognostic factor before a surgery decision is made\(^\text{28, 30, 32}\). It should be noted that stage IV NSCLC patients were included in these trials rather than merely BM patients. Due to the distinct histological structure of brain tissue and the blood-brain barrier, the response to systemic treatment could be different between the brain and other distal organs involved\(^\text{33}\). Evidence is still lacking in this specific clinical setting of BM patients.

Patients aged younger with less comorbidities and well-supported socioeconomically are more likely to
tolerate aggressive local treatment to both primary lung lesions and BM, and thus might warrant better survival\cite{15,25}. When narrowing down the study population to those who received primary lung tumor resection, similar results were indicated as mentioned above (Table 3).

In summary, patients with better overall condition, fewer comorbidities, less tumor burden combined with appropriate systemic treatment and primary lung tumor resection usually have better survival.

### Table 2. Prognostic factors associated with NSCLC patient survival in different studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n of study cases</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Patient characteristic</th>
<th>Tumor histology</th>
<th>Tumor differentiation</th>
<th>TNM stage</th>
<th>Metastases pattern</th>
<th>Primary tumor resection</th>
<th>Systemic therapy</th>
<th>Other factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Database derived study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang 2022\cite{15}</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>≤65 y-o</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>well-moderate</td>
<td>T1-2N0</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>chemo, married</td>
<td></td>
<td>race, position of primary tumor, metastasectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang 2021\cite{25}</td>
<td>4872</td>
<td>stage IV</td>
<td>age and gender</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>chemo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hao 2021\cite{34}</td>
<td>1288</td>
<td>stage IV</td>
<td>age and gender</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>lobectomy, chemo, radio, lymphadenectomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen 2021\cite{7}</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>KPS</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>T1-3N0-1 (I-II)</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EGFR mutation, ALK rearrangement, second-line systemic therapies, metastasectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitch- 2020\cite{35}</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>stage IV</td>
<td>ECOG, squamous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>receipt of systemic therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ell 2019\cite{18}</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>RPA, KPS</td>
<td>solitary without extracranial</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td>infratentorial lesions, complete surgical resection, recurrence treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enders 2016\cite{8}</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>ECOG</td>
<td>Tumor Type</td>
<td>Metastases</td>
<td>Initial CNS Therapy</td>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>Treatment Duration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td></td>
<td>T1-3N0-1</td>
<td>solitary</td>
<td></td>
<td>/radia-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tion &gt;45Gy*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td></td>
<td>T1-3N0-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>/radia-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tion &gt;45Gy*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parikh</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>ECOG</td>
<td>N0-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>/radia-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nonsqua-</td>
<td>single organ</td>
<td></td>
<td>tion &gt;53Gy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mous</td>
<td>involved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louie</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td></td>
<td>T1-3N0-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>time between intracranial and thoracic treatment &gt;8 weeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flan-</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td></td>
<td>T1-3N0-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*After adjusting for age, thoracic stage, performance status and initial CNS therapy; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer; BM: brain metastases; KPS: Karnofsky performance status; ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; RPA: recursive partitioning analysis; Chemo: chemotherapy; Raido: radiotherapy.
Appropriate surgical strategy for primary lung tumor resection

For the selected group of NSCLC patients with BM, what kind of surgery should be recommended to remove the primary lung tumor remains uncertain. Surgery for this group of patients can be challenging as neoadjuvant systemic therapy might lead to increased frequency of dense fibrotic tissue involving the hilar structures and greater prevalence of firm lymph nodes[42]. The surgical team should be prepared for different surgical approaches. Pneumonectomy, lobectomy and sub-lobar resection including wedge resection and segmentectomy were mentioned in related studies, and lobectomy was the most reported surgical approach among them.

A surgical plan should be made individually based on the patient’s overall condition, comorbidities, neoadjuvant systemic therapy, position and extent of the lung tumor, local and overall tumor burden, sequence of thoracic and intracranial tumor treatment, and socioeconomical factors. Survival benefits of different surgical strategies favoring lobectomy but conflicting evidence exists.

In a database-derived study[34], 1288 stage IV NSCLC patients were divided into two matching groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (Year)</th>
<th>n of study cases</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Patient characteristic</th>
<th>Tumor histology</th>
<th>Tumor differentiation</th>
<th>TNM stage</th>
<th>Metastases pattern</th>
<th>Primary tumor resection</th>
<th>Systemic therapy</th>
<th>Other factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhang 2022[41]</td>
<td>1256 stage IV age and gender</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>surgery chemo metastasectomy lym-types radio phadenectomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jia 2021[16]</td>
<td>stage IV adeno/squamous T1-4N0-3 solitary</td>
<td>position of primary tumor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang 2021[25]</td>
<td>stage IV age and gender</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>position of primary tumor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Database derived study

Retrospective cohort study

Mitchell 2020[35] | stage IV N0 solitary | without bone metastases |

NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer; NS: not specific; BM: brain metastases; Adeno: adenocarcinoma; Chemo: chemotherapy; Radio: radiotherapy.
according to the surgical resection strategy. Lobectomy was more likely to be associated with better survival than sub-lobar surgery, but not in T4 disease. There is no difference in the survival benefit between wedge resection and segmentectomy. While in Kumar’s study[14], patients who underwent nonanatomic thoracic resection still demonstrated better 2-year survival rate than patients who got thoracic radiation (75.9%, 95% CI 61.5-85.5 vs 51.0%, 95% CI, 36.6-63.7). And in Wang’s study[19], 54 patients received wedge resection and 28 patients had lobectomy for lung surgery, and survival analysis demonstrated no differences in two different surgical approach groups. Elder patients with more comorbidities were more likely to receive sub-lobar resection than younger well-fit patients[34], as the risk of surgery and postoperative life quality should be taken into consideration as well.

Hilar and mediastinal lymphadenectomy has been the standard part of surgical treatment for early and middle-stage NSCLC patients, and it should be taken into consideration during decision making for advanced stage patients with BM[29]. Several studies targeted this setting and got favorable results. Zhang[41] extracted stage IV NSCLC cases from SEER database and grouped the cases according to whether lymph node dissection was performed. After PSM, 628 patients were assigned to the lymph node dissection group and a 1:1 matching group was acquired. The lymph node dissection group had prolonged CSS (23 months) and OS (21 months) than the non-lymph node dissection group (CSS:16 months; OS: 15 months). Numbers of lymph node dissected were related to survival benefits when the examined lymph node ranged from 1 to 25, suggesting systemic lymph node dissection was required to improve patient survival. In Hao’s study[34], multivariate Cox analysis suggested that lymph node dissection was consistently associated with better CSS (HR: 0.76, 95% CI 0.66-0.88, p<0.001) and OS (HR: 0.74, 95% CI 0.65-0.86, p<0.001), either in lobectomy group or sub-lobar resection group. Further dividing the numbers of lymph nodes dissected showed that ≥9 lymph node dissection was associated with better survival in sub-lobar resection group but not in the lobectomy group. Through systemic lymphadenectomy, a precise nodal disease stage would be acquired, tumor cells spread in the lymphatic draining zone would be removed, facilitating immune response and reducing tumor burden, which might eventually improve patient survival[48/44].

Conclusions

NSCLC with BM represents an advanced stage of tumor progression, but in some cases did not preclude aggressive local treatment. Related evidence of retrospective study has been discussed in this study, concerning the prognosis and management of primary lung tumor surgery for NSCLC patients with BM. Primary lung tumor resection might improve the survival of a selected group of advanced stage NSCLC patients with BM. Patient with better overall condition, fewer comorbidities, less tumor burden combined with appropriate systemic treatment and primary lung tumor resection usually has better survival. Surgical strategy should be made individually, and lobectomy along with lymphadenectomy could be recommended when appropriate, to maximize survival benefit. On the other hand, traditional systemic treatment like chemotherapy and emerging systemic treatment such as targeted therapy and immunotherapy
are still vital to long-term disease control and survival. A multimodal treatment strategy integrating surgery and evolving systemic therapy is promising in treating this complicated and difficult clinical setting[45-48]. We’re looking forward to related studies especially prospectively designed with a large number of patients included to address this topic.
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